IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEW MEXICO

LUCI	NDA M. YAZZIE,	
	Plaintiff,	
v.		No. 10-CV-292 BB/LFG
LAW	OFFICES OF FARRELL & SELDIN,	
	Defendant.	
	VERDICT	
1.	Has Plaintiff proven her claim against the Law Offices of Farrell & Seldin for violation of the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act?	
	Yes	No
2.	Has Plaintiff proven her claim against the Law Offices of Farrell & Seldin for violation of the Unfair Practices Act?	
	Yes	No
3.	Has Plaintiff proven her claim against the Law Offices of Farrell & Seldin for tortious debt collection?	
,	XYes	No
	If you answered no to the foregoing question and date the verdict form and advise the verdict.	ns, then the Foreperson should sign Court that the jury has reached a
	If you answered yes to any of Questions I answer the following question.	Nos. 1, 2 or 3, above, then please

Yes	No
If you answered no to Question No. 4, the the verdict form and advise the Court that	on the Foreperson should sign and at the jury has reached a verdict.
If you answered yes to Question No. compensatory damages to Plaintiff.	4, then you may award actual
We award the following amounts of actu	al and compensatory damages:
If you answered yes to Question No. 3 damages.	
We award the following amount of puni	tive damages: " 1, 100, 00
If you answered yes to Question No. 2 at question.	pove, then please answer the follow
Did the Law Offices of Farrell & Seldin in the violation of the Unfair Practices A	
Yes	No
SIGNATURE OF FOREMAN	